arXiv:1512.02399v2 [math.AT] 28 Jan 2016

GENERAL BOURGIN–YANG THEOREMS

ZBIGNIEW BŁASZCZYK, WACŁAW MARZANTOWICZ, AND MAHENDER SINGH

Abstract. Let G be either a cyclic group of order p^k, a p-torus (p a prime), or a torus. We estimate the dimension of $f^{-1}(A)$ for any G-equivariant map $f\colon X \to Y$ and any closed G-invariant subset $A \subseteq Y$ in terms of connectivity of X and dimension of Y, thus obtaining Bourgin–Yang type theorems for a wide class of spaces. We also discuss a general (independent of the choice of G) approach to this sort of problems.

1. Introduction

The celebrated Borsuk–Ulam theorem published in 1933 states that whenever there exists a continuous map $f\colon S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to S(\mathbb{R}^k)$ between spheres in Euclidean spaces with the property that $f(-x) = -f(x)$, then $n \leq k$. Consequently, if $f\colon S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is a continuous map with the above property and $n > k$, then there exists $x_0 \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f(x_0) = 0$. In 1955, Bourgin and Yang showed independently that in this situation the set

$$Z_f = \big\{x \in S(\mathbb{R}^n) \,\big|\, f(x) = 0\big\}$$

is of dimension at least $n - k - 1$.

The Borsuk–Ulam theorem proved to be one of the most useful tools of elementary algebraic topology. For this reason, it has numerous and far reaching extensions and generalizations, and continues to attract attention. Instead of telling the story of why this is so (that has been told many times!), we refer the reader to the book of Matoušek [12] and/or the survey of Živaljević [21]. Let us only mention, very tersely, that Borsuk–Ulam type theorems often allow to infer that certain combinatorial problems have a solution. From this perspective, Bourgin–Yang type theorems yield information about the size of the set of solutions. Interestingly enough, there are not nearly as

Date: February 1, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55M20, 57S10; Secondary 55N91.

Key words and phrases. Borsuk–Ulam theorem, Bourgin–Yang theorem, cohomological length, coincidence set, equivariant map, representation sphere.

many papers on the Bourgin–Yang theorem. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, those which exist rely on having a sphere as the (co)domain of the equivariant map in question (e.g. Marzantowicz, de Mattos and dos Santos [10], [11]) or treat a specific situation (e.g. Munkholm [14], [15], Volovikov [17], [18]). We set out to fill in this apparent omission.

In this paper we investigate extensions of the Bourgin–Yang theorem. These extensions are two-folds in nature. Firstly, we consider symmetries provided by more complicated groups than $\mathbb{Z}_2$, namely we take G to be the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ of order p^k, a p-torus $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^k$ (p a prime in both cases), or a torus $(\mathbb{S}^1)^k$. Secondly, we work with more general spaces than spheres and Euclidean spaces. We merely assume that there exists a G-equivariant map $f\colon X \to Y$ with X compact and Y of finite covering dimension. Then, roughly speaking, our results state that the dimension of $f^{-1}(A)$ for any closed G-invariant subspace $A \subseteq Y$ can be measured in terms of the difference of connectivity of X and dimension of Y. This idea is heavily motivated by the work of Clapp and Puppe [5], where a general version of the Borsuk–Ulam theorem is obtained.

Our paper is similar in spirit to that of Volovikov [20]. Namely, he defines a numerical G-index i' and then derives an "abstract" version of the Bourgin–Yang theorem,

$$i'\big(f^{-1}(A)\big) \geq i'(X) - i'(Y \setminus A).$$

We proceed similarly, with i' replaced with the $(\mathcal{A}, h^*, I)$-length ℓ as defined by Bartsch [2]. The main difference is that we concentrate our efforts on relating ℓ to more familiar invariants (such as cohomological or covering dimension) in order to obtain results which resemble the statement of the classical Bourgin–Yang theorem as closely as possible, while Volovikov opts to apply his index to a variety of other problems. As far as applications go, we restrict attention to estimating the sizes of coincidence sets $\{x \in X \mid f(gx) = f(x) \text{ for any } g \in X\}$ of maps $X \to \mathbb{R}^m$, although we are aware that similar methods can be used to estimate the sizes of more general (H, G)-coincidence sets (introduced by Gonçalves, Jaworowski and Pergher [8]), as exhibited in a forthcoming paper of de Mattos and Souza [13].

The presented approach provides a unified framework for various types of groups: all of our results arise as variations on a single scheme. It is perhaps worth pointing out that despite that we actually recover the best known results in some classical cases.

The paper is organized as follows.

- Section 2 consists of preliminaries. Perhaps most importantly, in Subsection 2.3 we review the notion and properties of the $(\mathcal{A}, h^*, I)$-length.

- In Section 3 we explain our general strategy (Theorem 3.1 and the immediately proceeding discussion) and carry out certain computations of the length for various triples $(\mathcal{A}, h^*, I)$.
- Sections 4–6 contain our versions of the Bourgin–Yang theorem for $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$, $(\mathbb{S}^1)^k$ and $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^k$ (Theorems 4.1, 5.2 and 6.4, respectively) and their immediate consequnces.
- Finally, in Section 7 we discuss how our approach can be exploited to obtain at least partial results for other groups.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Notation.** We shall use standard notation of transformation group theory, see [4]. Unless otherwise stated, G stands for a compact Lie group. Given a G-space X, write $\mathcal{O}(X) = \{G_x \,|\, x \in X\}$ for the set of isotropy subgroups of X. For $H \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, let (H) denote its conjugacy class in G, referred to as an *orbit type*. The set $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is clearly divided into conjugacy classes which correspond to orbit types in X. More precisely,

$$\mathcal{O}(X) = \bigcup (H).$$

There is a natural partial order in $\mathcal{O}(X)$:

$$(H) \geq (K) \text{ if and only if } H \text{ is conjugate to a subgroup of } K.$$

Throughout the paper $\dim X$ stands for the covering dimension of a space X. We note that if X is paracompact (in fact, normal) and $A \subseteq X$ is a closed subspace, then $\dim A \leq \dim X$. We will also write

$$\operatorname{cdim} X = \max\{n \geq 0 \,|\, H^n(X; \mathbb{F}) \neq 0\},$$

where $H^n(-; \mathbb{F})$ denotes the Alexander–Spanier cohomology with coefficients $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_p$ or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$, depending on whether $G = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^k$ or $G = (\mathbb{S}^1)^k$. Since we are working with Alexander–Spanier cohomology theory, we have $\operatorname{cdim} X \leq \dim X$.

2.2. **Existence of equivariant maps.** In the sequel we will be interested in the existence of equivariant maps between certain G-spaces. We will use the following result from equivariant obstruction theory to this end.

Theorem 2.1 ([7, Chapter II, Proposition 3.15])**.** *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Suppose that (X, A) is a relative G-complex with free action on $X \setminus A$ and Y is an n-simple and $(n-1)$-connected G-space. Then any G-equivariant map $A \to Y$ can be extended over the n-skeleton of X.*

2.3. **The $(\mathcal{A}, h^*, I)$-length.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a set of G-spaces, h^* a multiplicative equivariant cohomology theory, and $I \subseteq h^*(\mathrm{pt})$ an ideal. The $(\mathcal{A}, h^*, I)$-*length* of a G-space X is defined to be the smallest integer $k \geq 1$ such that there exist $A_1, \ldots, A_k \in \mathcal{A}$ with the property that for any $\alpha_i \in I \cap \ker\big[h^*(\mathrm{pt}) \to h^*(A_i)\big]$, $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$p_X^*(\alpha_1) \smile \cdots \smile p_X^*(\alpha_k) = 0,$$

where $p_X \colon X \to \mathrm{pt}$.

Throughout the paper we will make use of either Borel cohomology theory H_G^* modeled on Alexander–Spanier theory, or equivariant K-theory K_G^*.

Remark 2.2 ([2, Observation 5.5])**.** Assume that $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. It is then clear that $(\mathcal{A}, h^*, I)$-length $\leq (\mathcal{A}', h^*, I)$-length. Furthermore, if, additionally, for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there exist $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$ and a G-equivariant map $A \to A'$, then $(\mathcal{A}, h^*, I)$-length $= (\mathcal{A}', h^*, I)$-length.

Fix a triple $(\mathcal{A}, h^*, I)$ and write ℓ for the $(\mathcal{A}, h^*, I)$-length. In what follows we implicitly assume that all considered spaces are such that ℓ is defined for them.

Remark 2.3. Note that even though K_G^* is defined for locally compact G-spaces, a K_G^*-based length makes sense only for compact G-spaces: K_G^* is functorial only for proper maps, hence it is not possible to consider the map induced by $p_X \colon X \to \mathrm{pt}$ on K_G^* for a non-compact X. We mention this specifically because it happens to be a prevalent mistake in [10]. In fact, our work can be seen as an erratum for that paper: remarkably, even though the arguments therein are incorrect for the most part, the results still hold.

Theorem 2.4 ([2, Theorem 4.7])**.** *The length has the following properties:*

1. *If there exists an h^*-functorial G-equivariant map $X \to Y$, then $\ell(X) \leq \ell(Y)$.*
2. *Let A, $B \subseteq X$ be G-invariant subspaces such that*

$$h^*(X, A) \times h^*(X, B) \xrightarrow{\smile} h^*(X, A \cup B)$$

   is defined. If $A \cup B = X$, then $\ell(X) \leq \ell(A) + \ell(B)$.
3. *If $h^* = H_G^*$, I is noetherian and X is paracompact, then any closed G-invariant subspace $A \subseteq X$ has an open G-invariant neighborhood $U \subseteq X$ such that $\ell(U) = \ell(A)$.*

2.4. **Cohomology of certain groups.** We will need to carry out certain computations in cohomology rings of tori and p-tori. Let us recall the relevant information, mainly in order to fix notation (see e.g. [9, p. 45]).

- If $G = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^k$, then $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, \dots, w_k]$, where $\deg w_i = 1$.
- If $G = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^k$, $p > 2$, then $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \Lambda[w_1, \dots, w_k] \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[c_1, \dots, c_k]$, where $\deg w_i = 1$ and $\deg c_i = 2$.
- If $G = (\mathbb{S}^1)^k$, then $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[c_1, \dots, c_k]$, where $\deg c_i = 2$.

2.5. **Choice of the triple $(\mathcal{A}, h^*, I)$.** Depending on the group G, we set:

(1) if $G = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^k$: $h^* = H^*_G(-; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, $I = H^*_G(\mathrm{pt}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$,
(2) if $G = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^k$, $p > 2$: $h^* = H^*_G(-; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, $I = (c_1, \dots, c_k)$,
(3) if $G = (\mathbb{S}^1)^k$: $h^* = H^*_G(-; \mathbb{Q})$, $I = H^*_G(\mathrm{pt}; \mathbb{Q})$.

In the three cases above, we will consider the length ℓ with respect to $\mathcal{A} = \{G/H \,|\, H \subsetneq G \text{ is a closed subgroup}\}$. We will also be interested in a fourth case:

(4) if $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$: $h^* = K^*_G(-)$, $I = K_G(\mathrm{pt})$,

but this time with respect to $\mathcal{A}_{r,s} = \{G/H \,|\, H \subseteq G \text{ and } r \le |H| \le s\}$ for two powers $1 \le r \le s \le p^{k-1}$ of p. We will write ℓ_s for the $(\mathcal{A}_{r,s}, K^*_G, I)$-length. This notation makes sense since ℓ_s is independent of the choice of r in view of Remark 2.2.

2.6. **Coincidence sets.** Let X be a G-space and Y any space. Given a map $f\colon X \to Y$, a natural problem is to understand the *coincidence set*

$$A_f = \big\{x \in X \,\big|\, f(x) = f(gx) \text{ for all } g \in G\big\},$$

e.g. in terms of cohomological dimension or a "G-index", as in a series of papers by Munkholm [14], [15], and later by Volovikov [17], [18], [20]. In combinatorial applications Y is usually taken to be a Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m$. If $G = \{e, g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ is a finite group, the following standard argument (cf. [10], [18], [19]) allows to reduce this problem to a Bourgin–Yang type situation.

Let $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+1} \mathbb{R}^m$. Equip W with a G-action via the formula

$$g(w_e, w_{g_1} \dots, w_{g_r}) = (w_g, w_{gg_1}, \dots, w_{gg_r}).$$

Clearly, this turns W into an orthogonal G-representation. The map $\tilde{f}\colon X \to W$ given by

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \big(f(x), f(g_1 x), \dots, f(g_r x)\big)$$

is then G-equivariant. The orthogonal complement $W^G_\perp$ of W^G is an orthogonal free G-representation of dimension mr. Now write $\pi^G\colon W \to W^G_\perp$ for the canonical projecton.

Since $\Delta W = W^G$ and $\tilde{f}^{-1}(\Delta W) = A_f$, the G-equivariant map $\bar{f} = \pi^G \circ \tilde{f} \colon X \to W^G_\perp$ has the property that $Z_{\bar{f}} = A_f$.

3. The $(\mathcal{A}, h^*, I)$-length of certain spaces

3.1. **An abstract Bourgin–Yang theorem.** Given a compact Lie group G, fix a triple $(\mathcal{A}, h^*, I)$ with $h^* = K^*_G$ or $h^* = H^*_G$ and additionally assume that I is noetherian in the latter case. Write ℓ for the corresponding length.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Y be a G-space and $A \subseteq Y$ a closed G-invariant subspace.*

(K^*_G) *If X is a compact G-space and $f \colon X \to Y$ is a G-equivariant map, then*

$$\ell\big(f^{-1}(A)\big) \geq \ell(X) - \ell(B)$$

for any compact G-invariant subspace $B \subseteq Y$ such that $f(X) \setminus \mathrm{Int}A \subseteq B$.

(H^*_G) *If X is a paracompact G-space and $f \colon X \to Y$ is a G-equivariant map, then*

$$\ell\big(f^{-1}(A)\big) \geq \ell(X) - \ell(B)$$

for any G-invariant subspace $B \subseteq Y$ such that $f(X) \setminus A \subseteq B$.

We note that this type of result has been formulated by Volovikov [20] for a differently defined "index theory".

Proof. (K^*_G) Clearly, $X = f^{-1}(A) \cup \big(X \setminus f^{-1}(\mathrm{Int}A)\big)$. In view of Theorem 2.4 we have:

- $\ell(X) \leq \ell\big(f^{-1}(A)\big) + \ell\big(X \setminus f^{-1}(\mathrm{Int}A)\big)$,
- $\ell\big(X \setminus f^{-1}(\mathrm{Int}A)\big) \leq \ell(B)$, because $f \colon X \setminus f^{-1}(\mathrm{Int}A) \to B$.

Combining these two inequalities yields $\ell\big(f^{-1}(A)\big) \geq \ell(X) - \ell(B)$.

(H^*_G) Find an open G-invariant neighbourhood U of $f^{-1}(A)$ such that $\ell(U) = \ell\big(f^{-1}(A)\big)$ by means of Theorem 2.4. Let $V = X - f^{-1}(A)$, so that $X = U \cup V$. Now proceed exactly as above. □

Our immediate goal is to make the above inequalities more accessible for various classes of groups. Note that if $h^* = H^*_G$ and V, W are two orthogonal G-representations, then applying Theorem 3.1 for $X = S(V)$, $Y = W$, $A = \{0\}$ and $B = W \setminus \{0\}$ yields

$$\ell\big(f^{-1}(0)\big) \geq \ell\big(S(V)\big) - \ell\big(S(W)\big)$$

for any G-equivariant map $f \colon S(V) \to W$. In particular, as soon as we manage to relate ℓ to more familiar invariants (such as covering or cohomological dimension), we will be able to recover Bourgin–Yang type theorems in the classical framework. A

similar thing happens for $h^* = K^*_G$, but this case requires slightly more work and we postpone it for Theorem 4.2.

For the most part we work in a more general setting, with the core assumption being that the base space X is n-simple and $(n-1)$-connected for some $n \geq 1$. Our strategy consists of finding:

(1) a lower bound depending on n for ℓ of such a space X, and
(2) an upper bound for ℓ of an arbitrary space in terms of dimension.

Given a G-space X, write $\mathcal{A}_X = \{G/G_x \,|\, x \in X\}$. Define θ, $\theta_{-1} \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by setting:

$$\theta(n) = \begin{cases} (n+1)/2, & n \text{ is odd,} \\ n/2+1, & n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad \theta_{-1}(n) = \begin{cases} (n+1)/2, & n \text{ is odd,} \\ n/2, & n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

This notation will be useful when dealing with various estimates for ℓ. From now on $n \geq 1$ is a fixed integer and, unless otherwise stated, we are working with the lengths described in Subsection 2.5.

3.2. $\mathbf{G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}}$**.** Marzantowicz–de Mattos–dos Santos provided the following upper bound for ℓ_s:

Theorem 3.2 ([10, Theorem 3.5])**.** *If X is a compact G-space and $\mathcal{A}_X \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{r,s}$, then*

$$\ell_s(X) \leq \theta(\dim X).$$

On the other hand, we have:

Proposition 3.3. *If X is a compact, n-simple and $(n-1)$-connected G-space, then*

$$\ell_s(X) \geq \left\lceil \frac{\big(\theta_{-1}(n)-1\big)r}{s} \right\rceil + 1.$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 2.1 to produce a G-equivariant map $S(V) \to X$, where V is an orthogonal free G-representation of complex dimension $\theta_{-1}(n)$. Monotonicity of ℓ_s then shows that $\ell_s(X) \geq \ell_s\big(S(V)\big)$, and the latter is estimated in [2, Theorem 5.8]. □

3.3. $\mathbf{G = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^k}$ **or** $\mathbf{G = (\mathbb{S}^1)^k}$**.** We reiterate that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_p$ or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$, depending on whether $G = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^k$ or $G = (\mathbb{S}^1)^k$. The latter case is included in the statements of the next two results by taking $p = \infty$ to mean $\mathbb{S}^1$.

Write BG for the classifying space of G. We will make use of the following observation without further ado: if $H \subseteq G$ is a closed subgroup, then the map $H^*_G(\mathrm{pt}) \to H^*_G(G/H)$ coincides with the map $H^*(BG;\mathbb{F}) \to H^*(BH;\mathbb{F})$ induced by the inclusion $H \to G$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $k = 1$ and X be a G-space which is either compact or paracompact of finite covering dimension. If X is fixed-point free, then*

$$\ell(X) \leq \begin{cases} \operatorname{cdim} X + 1, & p = 2, \\ \theta(\operatorname{cdim} X), & p > 2 \text{ finite}, \\ \theta_{-1}(\operatorname{cdim} X), & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Given a closed subgroup $H \subsetneq G$, we have $I \cap \ker\big[H^*_G(\mathrm{pt}) \to H^*_G(G/H)\big] = I \cap \tilde{H}^*(BG; \mathbb{F})$. Therefore the conclusion follows immediately from the structure of cohomology of G, or more precisely the choice of ideal I (see Subsection 2.5), and the fact that $\operatorname{cdim} EG \times_G X \leq \operatorname{cdim} X$ (or $\leq \operatorname{cdim} X - 1$ if $p = \infty$), as shown in [3, Theorem 1.4]. □

Remark 3.5. We cannot drop the assumption that $k = 1$ in the statement of Proposition 3.4: see Example 6.1, and also Theorem 7.4 for a more elucidative explanation. It is, however, perhaps worth pointing out that Proposition 3.4 does hold in a slightly more general situation, namely for X a paracompact G-space of finite cohomological dimension in the sense of sheaf cohomology (*ibid.*).

Proposition 3.6. *If X is a G-space such that $H^i(X; \mathbb{F}) = 0$ for $0 < i < n$, then*

$$\ell(X) \geq \begin{cases} n + 1, & p = 2, \\ \theta(n), & p > 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Assume that $G = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^k$ first. Choose a subgroup $H \subsetneq G$ and note that

$$\tilde{H}^*\big(B(G/H); \mathbb{Z}_p\big) \subseteq \ker\big[H^*_G(\mathrm{pt}) \to H^*_G(G/H)\big].$$

This is because the extension $0 \to H \to G \to G/H \to 0$ is split. In particular, $I \cap \ker\big[H^*_G(\mathrm{pt}) \to H^*_G(G/H)\big]$ contains a non-zero element, say α_H, in the first (second, respectively) gradation for $p = 2$ ($p > 2$).

Since the length of a path component of a space never exceeds that of the whole space, we can assume without loss of generality that X is path-connected. Consider the Serre spectral sequence with $\mathbb{Z}_p$-coefficients $\{E^{*,*}\}$ of the Borel fibration

$$X \hookrightarrow EG \times_G X \xrightarrow{p_X} BG.$$

It is well-known that $p^*_X \colon H^i(BG; \mathbb{Z}_p) \to H^i(EG \times_G X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ can be expressed as the edge homomorphism

$$H^i(BG; \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong E_2^{i,0} \twoheadrightarrow E_\infty^{i,0} \hookrightarrow H^i(EG \times_G X; \mathbb{Z}_p),$$

where $E_\infty^{i,0}$ is a quotient of $E_2^{i,0}$ by images of successive differentials and $E_2^{i,0} \twoheadrightarrow E_\infty^{i,0}$ is the quotient map. But the assumption on X assures that $E_\infty^{i,0} = E_2^{i,0}$ in the range $0 \leq i \leq n$ and, consequently, p_X^* is an isomorphism for $i < n$ and a monomorphism for $i = n$. This means that for any sequence $H_1, \ldots, H_r \subsetneq G$ we have

$$p_X^*(\alpha_{H_1}) \smile \cdots \smile p_X^*(\alpha_{H_r}) \neq 0,$$

where $r = n$ or $r = \theta(n) - 1$, depending on whether $p = 2$ or $p > 2$.

If $G = (\mathbb{S}^1)^k$ and $H \subsetneq G$ is a closed subgroup, then the extension $0 \to H \to G \to G/H \to 0$ need not be split. Typically, $H \cong (\mathbb{S}^1)^l \times \Gamma$, where $0 \leq l < k$ and Γ is a finite abelian group. However, in this case we are working with rational coefficients, thus we can just as well assume that H is a torus and proceed exactly as above. □

4. A Bourgin–Yang theorem for $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$

We can immediately state:

Theorem 4.1. *Let X and Y be G-spaces, with X compact, n-simple and $(n-1)$-connected, and Y paracompact. If $\mathcal{A}_X$, $\mathcal{A}_Y \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{r,s}$, then for any G-equivariant map $f\colon X \to Y$ we have*

$$\theta\big(\dim f^{-1}(A)\big) \geq \left\lceil \frac{\big(\theta_{-1}(n) - 1\big)r}{s} \right\rceil - \theta\big(\dim(Y \setminus \operatorname{Int} A)\big) + 1,$$

where $A \subseteq Y$ is a closed G-invariant subspace.

Proof. Combining Theorem 3.1 with Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 yields

$$\theta\big(\dim f^{-1}(A)\big) \geq \left\lceil \frac{\big(\theta_{-1}(n) - 1\big)r}{s} \right\rceil - \theta\big(\dim(f(X) \setminus \operatorname{Int} A)\big) + 1.$$

Now use the fact that $\dim\big(f(X) \setminus \operatorname{Int} A\big) \leq \dim(Y \setminus \operatorname{Int} A)$ in order to conclude the proof. □

Let V and W be complex orthogonal G-representations such that $V^G = W^G = \{0\}$. Set $X = S(V)$, $Y = W$ and $A = \{0\}$. Note that we cannot apply Theorem 4.1 verbatim in order to obtain the estimate

$$(\clubsuit) \qquad \dim Z_f \geq 2\left(\left\lceil \frac{(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V - 1)r}{s} \right\rceil - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W\right)$$

of [10, Theorem 3.6]. We show, however, that it is actually possible to recover that result, even though its original proof is incorrect (see Remark 2.3).

Theorem 4.2. *Let V and W be as above. If $\mathcal{A}_{V\setminus\{0\}}$, $\mathcal{A}_{W\setminus\{0\}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{r,s}$, then for any G-equivariant map $f\colon S(V) \to W$ we have*

$$\theta(\dim Z_f) \geq \left\lceil \frac{(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V - 1)r}{s} \right\rceil - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W + 1.$$

Consequently, (♣) holds.

Proof. Consider W as a metric space with the usual Euclidean metric. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, write D_ε for the closed ε-disk centered at $0 \in W$. Since $f\big(S(V)\big)$ is compact, there exists $R > 0$ such that $f\big(S(V)\big) \subseteq D_R$, hence $f\colon S(V) \setminus f^{-1}(\mathrm{Int}D_\varepsilon) \to D_R \setminus \mathrm{Int}D_\varepsilon$ for any $0 < \varepsilon < R$. But $K_G^*(D_R \setminus \mathrm{Int}D_\varepsilon) \cong K_G^*\big(S(W)\big)$ by the obvious equivariant deformation argument. Similarly as before, Theorem 3.1 together with Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 give

$$\ell_s\big(f^{-1}(D_\varepsilon)\big) \geq \left\lceil \frac{(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V - 1)r}{s} \right\rceil - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W + 1,\ 0 < \varepsilon < R.$$

Thus in order to conclude the proof it suffices to show that $\ell_s\big(f^{-1}(D_{\varepsilon_0})\big) = \ell_s\big(f^{-1}(0)\big)$ for some $0 < \varepsilon_0 < R$ and use Theorem 3.2 once more.

Of course $\ell_s\big(f^{-1}(0)\big) \leq \ell_s\big(f^{-1}(D_\varepsilon)\big)$ for any $0 < \varepsilon < R$ by monotonicity of ℓ_s. Let $\ell_s\big(f^{-1}(0)\big) = n$ and take $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}_{r,s}$ as in the definition of length. Since $I = K_G(\mathrm{pt}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(1 - x^{p^k})$ is noetherian, we can choose finitely many generators

$$\alpha_{ij} \in I \cap \ker\big[K_G^*(\mathrm{pt}) \to K_G^*(A_i)\big],\ 1 \leq i \leq n,\ 1 \leq j \leq m_i.$$

Then, given any tuple $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_n)$ with $1 \leq j_i \leq m_i$ and $\alpha_J = \alpha_{1j_1} \smile \cdots \smile \alpha_{nj_n}$, we have $p^*_{f^{-1}(0)}(\alpha_J) = 0$. Since $K_G^*\big(f^{-1}(0)\big) \cong \varinjlim K_G^*\big(f^{-1}(D_\varepsilon)\big)$ by continuity of K_G^*, there exists $0 < \varepsilon_J < R$ such that $p^*_{f^{-1}(D_{\varepsilon_J})}(\alpha_J) = 0$. It is easy to see that $\varepsilon_0 = \min\{\varepsilon_J \,|\, J\}$ has $\ell_s\big(f^{-1}(D_{\varepsilon_0})\big) \leq n$. □

Remark 4.3. The conclusion of Theorem 4.2 remains true *mutatis mutandis* with $S(V)$ replaced with any compact G-space X which is n-simple, $(n-1)$-connected and has $\mathcal{A}_X \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{r,s}$.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $p > 2$. If X is a fixed-point free G-space which is compact, n-simple and $(n-1)$-connected, then the following holds for any map $f\colon X \to \mathbb{R}^m$:*

$$\theta(\dim A_f) \geq \left\lceil \frac{\theta_{-1}(n) - 1}{p^{k-1}} \right\rceil - \frac{(p^k - 1)m}{2} + 1.$$

Proof. Proceed as explained in Subsection 2.6 in order to obtain a G-equivariant map $\bar{f}\colon X \to W_\perp^G$. Note that $\mathcal{A}_{W_\perp^G \setminus \{0\}} = \mathcal{A}_{1,p^{k-1}}$, so that $W_\perp^G$ admits a complex structure and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_\perp^G = (p^k-1)/2$. Now argue as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 with $r=1$, $s=p^{k-1}$. □

Let $X = S^{2n-1}$, $n \geq 1$, equipped with a fixed-point free G-action. Our result shows in particular that if

$$2n-1 \geq mp^{k-1}(p^k-1) - 2p^{k-1} + 3,$$

then any map $f\colon S^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ has $A_f \neq \emptyset$. In general, this is a considerably worse estimate than the one provided by the "mod p^a Borsuk–Ulam theorem" of Munkholm [15]. (The exception being the case $k=1$, where the two estimates coincide. And even then this is not the best known result; see the discussion proceeding immediately after Theorem 4.6.) Note, however, that there is room for improvement in our approach: we believe that plugging in the actual value of $\ell_{p^{k-1}}\big(S(W_\perp^G)\big)$ into the inequality in Corollary 4.4, as opposed to replacing it by $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_\perp^G = (p^k-1)m/2$, allows to recover Munkholm's estimate. This in fact happens in the few cases that we have been able to calculate $\ell_{p^{k-1}}\big(S(W_\perp^G)\big)$ by using Macaulay2, which boils down to finding the least integer $l \geq 1$ such that $\big(1-x^{p^{k-1}}\big)^l$ is contained in the ideal $\big(1-x^{p^k}, e_{W_\perp^G}\big)$ of $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, where $e_{W_\perp^G}$ stands for the Euler class of the complex G-vector bundle $W_\perp^G \to \mathrm{pt}$ (cf. [2, Theorem 5.8]). For this reason we conjecture that

$$\ell_{p^{k-1}}\big(S(W_\perp^G)\big) = \frac{km(p-1)}{2}.$$

We can also easily derive the following "Clapp–Puppe version" (cf. [5, Theorem 6.4]) of the Borsuk–Ulam theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *Let X and Y be compact G-spaces, with X n-simple and $(n-1)$-connected. If $\mathcal{A}_X$, $\mathcal{A}_Y \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{r,s}$ and*

$$\left\lceil \frac{\big(\theta_{-1}(n)-1\big)r}{s} \right\rceil + 1 > \theta(\dim Y),$$

then there is no G-equivariant map $X \to Y$.

Proof. If a G-equivariant map $X \to Y$ exists, then $\ell_s(X) \leq \ell_s(Y)$ by monotonicity of ℓ_s and $\big\lceil \big(\theta_{-1}(n)-1\big)r/s \big\rceil + 1 \leq \theta(\dim Y)$ by Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.3. □

Let us state the corresponding results for $k=1$ separately. In fact, we can readily obtain slightly better results if we replace $K^*_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ with $H^*_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Up until the end of this

section ℓ stands for the $\big(\{\mathbb{Z}_p\}, H^*_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(-;\mathbb{Z}_p), I\big)$-length, where $I = H^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathrm{pt};\mathbb{Z}_2)$ if $p = 2$ and $I = (c_1)$ if $p > 2$ (see Subsection 2.4).

Theorem 4.6. *Let X and Y be $\mathbb{Z}_p$-spaces with X compact such that $H^i(X;\mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ for $0 < i < n$, and Y paracompact of finite covering dimension. If X is fixed-point free, then for any $\mathbb{Z}_p$-equivariant map $f\colon X \to Y$ we have*

$p = 2$: $\operatorname{cdim} f^{-1}(A) \geq n - \operatorname{cdim}(Y \setminus \operatorname{Int}A) - 1$,
$p > 2$: $\theta\big(\operatorname{cdim} f^{-1}(A)\big) \geq \theta(n) - \theta\big(\operatorname{cdim}(Y \setminus \operatorname{Int}A)\big)$,

where $A \subseteq Y$ is a closed G-invariant subspace such that $Y^{\mathbb{Z}_p} \subseteq \operatorname{Int}A$.

Proof. Combine Theorem 3.1 with Propositions 3.4 and 3.6. □

Using this approach to estimate the size of the coincidence set A_f of a map $f\colon X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ (X as in the statement of Theorem 4.6) yields:

$$\operatorname{cdim} A_f \geq \begin{cases} n - m, & p = 2, \\ n - (p-1)m - 1, & p > 2. \end{cases}$$

To see this, use the reduction described in Subsection 2.6. Having arrived at a map $\bar{f}\colon X \to W_\perp^{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, use Theorem 3.1, substitute $\ell(W \setminus \{0\})$ with $\ell\big(S(W)\big)$ and use Propositions 3.4 and 3.6.

For $p > 2$ this is slightly weaker (we are off by "-1") than Munkholm's "$\bmod p$ Bourgin–Yang theorem" [14] and Volovikov's [17, Theorem 1]. However, in the special case when X is a $\bmod p$ cohomology sphere, our approach can be tweaked as follows in order to match their estimates.

Let ℓ_1 be the length corresponding to the triple $\big(\{\mathbb{Z}_p\}, H^*_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(-;\mathbb{Z}_p), H^*_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathrm{pt};\mathbb{Z}_p)\big)$. Then ℓ_1 can be described as follows (see [2, Example 4.5]):

$$\ell_1(X) = \begin{cases} \ell(X), & p_X^*(c^{\ell(X)-1}w) = 0, \\ \ell(X) + 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now define $\ell'(X) = \ell(X) + \ell_1(X) - 1$. This is not length in the sense of definition in Subsection 2.3, but it still inherits some of its properties. In particular:

Lemma 4.7. *Let X be a fixed-point free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-space with $p > 2$.*

1. *If $\ell_1(X) = \ell(X) + 1$, then analogues of Theorems 2.4 and 3.1 hold for ℓ'.*
2. *If X is either compact or paracompact of finite covering dimension, then $\ell'(X) \leq \operatorname{cdim} X + 1$.*
3. *If X is a compact $\bmod p$ cohomology n-sphere, then $\ell'(X) = n + 1$.*

Proof. (1) It is clear that properties (1) and (3) of Theorem 2.4 hold even without any extra assumptions, and it is explained in [2, Remark 4.14] that (2) holds provided that $\ell_1(X) = \ell(X) + 1$. We can now repeat the proof of Theorem 3.1 word for word.

(2) This is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 3.4.

(3) Note that $p > 2$ forces n to be odd. By means of Propositions 3.4 and 3.6, $\ell(X) = (n+1)/2$. It is also clear that $\ell_1(X) \le (n+1)/2 + 1$. Furthermore, in view of Theorem 2.1, there exists a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-equivariant map $S(V) \to X$, where V is an orthogonal free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-representation of complex dimension $(n+1)/2$, so that $\ell_1(X) \ge \ell_1\big(S(V)\big)$, and the latter is equal to $(n+1)/2+1$ by [2, Remark 5.4]. Consequently, $\ell_1(X) = (n+1)/2+1$ and $\ell'(X) = \ell(X) + \ell_1(X) - 1 = n + 1$. □

In effect, we can prove:

Theorem 4.8. *Let X be a compact $\mathbb{Z}_p$-space which is a $\bmod p$ cohomology n-sphere, and let W be an orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_p$-representation such that $W^{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \{0\}$. If X is fixed-point free, then for any $\mathbb{Z}_p$-equivariant map $f\colon X \to W$ we have*

$$\operatorname{cdim} Z_f \ge n - \dim_{\mathbb{R}} W.$$

In particular, for any map $f\colon X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ we have $\operatorname{cdim} A_f \ge n - (p-1)m$.

5. A Bourgin–Yang theorem for $(\mathbb{S}^1)^k$

When $G = (\mathbb{S}^1)^k$, we can still obtain fairly general results via a straightforward reduction to the case $k = 1$:

Lemma 5.1 ([1, Lemma 4.2.1])**.** *Let X be a G-space with finitely many orbit types and $X^G = \emptyset$. Then there exists a subgroup $H \subseteq G$, $H \cong \mathbb{S}^1$, such that $X^H = \emptyset$.*

Thus an additional assumption we will work with is that the target G-space Y has only finitely many orbit types. This is well-known to be satisfied e.g. if Y is an invariant compact subspace of a G-manifold, a G-CW-complex, or a G-ENR.

Theorem 5.2. *Let X and Y be G-spaces, with X compact such that $H^i(X;\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $0 < i < n$, and Y paracompact of finite covering dimension and with finitely many orbit types. If Y is fixed-point free, then for any G-equivariant map $f\colon X \to Y$ we have*

$$\theta_{-1}\big(\operatorname{cdim} f^{-1}(A)\big) \ge \theta(n) - \theta_{-1}\big(\operatorname{cdim}(Y \setminus \operatorname{Int} A)\big),$$

where $A \subseteq Y$ is a closed G-invariant subspace.

Proof. If $k = 1$, use Theorem 3.1 with Propositions 3.4 and 3.6. If $k > 1$, consider $f\colon X \to Y$ as an H-equivariant map between H-spaces for $H \cong \mathbb{S}^1$ given by Lemma 5.1, so that Y, and hence also X, are fixed-point free H-spaces. □

The resulting version for representation spheres is as follows. (In particular, we recover the estimate of [11, Theorem 3.4] in a more streamlined manner.)

Corollary 5.3. *If V and W are complex orthogonal G-representations such that $V^G = W^G = \{0\}$, then for any G-equivariant map $f\colon S(V) \to W$ we have*

$$\theta_{-1}\big(\operatorname{cdim} f^{-1}(0)\big) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W.$$

Consequently,

$$\operatorname{cdim} f^{-1}(0) \geq 2(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W) - 1.$$

One can also derive the corresponding version of the Borsuk–Ulam theorem by using Lemma 5.1 and proceeding analogously as in the proof of Theorem 4.5. This partially sharpens [5, Theorem 6.4].

Theorem 5.4. *Let X and Y be fixed-point free G-spaces, with X such that $H^i(X;\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $0 < i < n$ and Y paracompact of finite covering dimension and with finitely many orbit types. If $\theta(n) > \theta_{-1}(\operatorname{cdim} Y)$, then there is no G-equivariant map $X \to Y$.*

6. A Bourgin–Yang theorem for $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^k$

This case seems to be significantly more difficult than the previous ones. It does not succumb to a reduction similar to the one used in Section 5, and we also cannot hope for a satisfactory upper bound on $\ell(X)$ in terms of dimension of X for an arbitrary G-space X.

Example 6.1. Let $H \subseteq G$ be a sub-p-torus of rank $k-1$. It is clear that for any $K \subsetneq G$, $K \neq H$, there exists $\alpha \in I \cap \ker\big[H^*_G(\mathrm{pt}) \to H^*_G(G/K)\big]$ such that $(p_{G/H})^*(\alpha) \neq 0$. Since $(p_{X\sqcup Y})^* = (p_X^*, p_Y^*)$, this shows that for any family $H_1, \ldots, H_n \subseteq G$ of distinct sub-p-tori we have $\ell\big(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n G/H_i\big) = n$, but $\dim\big(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n G/H_i\big) = 0$. (See also Theorem 7.4.)

There is the following upper bound (cf. [2, Proposition 4.2]):

$$\ell(X) \leq (\dim X + 1) \max_{H \subsetneq G} c(H),$$

where $c(H)$ denotes the number of connected components of the space X^H/G, but this is far from being useful from our point of view, unless of course we *a priori* know e.g. that X^H is connected for any $H \subsetneq G$. We can also always state:

Proposition 6.2. *Let X and Y be G-spaces, with X compact such that $H^i(X;\mathbb{Z}_p)=0$ for $0<i<n$, and Y paracompact of finite covering dimension. If there exists a subgroup $H\subseteq G$, $H\cong\mathbb{Z}_p$, such that Y is a free H-space, then for any G-equivariant map $f\colon X\to Y$ we have*

$p=2$: $\operatorname{cdim} f^{-1}(A)\geq n-\operatorname{cdim}(Y\setminus \operatorname{Int}A)-1$,
$p>2$: $\theta\big(\operatorname{cdim} f^{-1}(A)\big)\geq \theta(n)-\theta\big(\operatorname{cdim}(Y\setminus\operatorname{Int}A)\big)$,

where $A\subseteq Y$ is a closed G-invariant subspace.

Proof. Since $G_x\subseteq G_{f(x)}$ for any $x\in X$, both spaces are free with respect to the H-action and it suffices to apply Theorem 4.6. □

Remark 6.3. A natural situation in which the assumption of Proposition 6.2 is satisfied is when Y has a single orbit type or, more generally, the set of orbit types of Y has an infimum among proper subgroups of G.

Let $\mathcal{H}=\big\{H\subseteq G\,\big|\,H\cong(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{k-1}\big\}$. If X is a G-space, $H\in\mathcal{H}$ and $X^H\neq\emptyset$, then $(H)\in\mathcal{O}(X)$ is a minimal orbit type. The following version of the Bourgin–Yang theorem generalizes and gives a different estimate than the one in [11, Theorem 2.7].

Theorem 6.4. *Let X be a fixed-point free G-space which is compact such that $H^i(X;\mathbb{Z}_p)=0$ for $0<i<n$, and let W be an m-dimensional orthogonal G-representation with $W^G=\{0\}$. Then for any G-equivariant map $f\colon X\to W$, we have*

$$\ell\big(f^{-1}(0)\big)\geq\begin{cases}n-m, & p=2\\ \theta(n)-\theta(m-1), & p>2.\end{cases}$$

If, additionally, X is a mod p *cohomology n-sphere, then*

$$\sum_{H\in\mathcal{H}}\operatorname{cdim} f^{-1}(0)^H\geq n-m-(p^k-1)(p-1)+1.$$

Proof. The first part follows from Theorem 3.1 and Propositions 3.4 and 3.6 via identification $W\setminus\{0\}\simeq_G S(W)$.

In order to prove the second part, recall that if X is a mod p cohomology n-sphere and $H\subseteq G$ is any subgroup, then X^H is a mod p cohomology r-sphere, $-1\leq r\leq n-1$, where $r=-1$ is taken to mean that X^H is empty ([9, Theorem IV.2]). Set $n(H)=\dim X^H$, $m(H)=\dim W^H$. The Borel formula ([9, Theorem IV.7]) yields

$$n+1=\sum_{H\in\mathcal{H}}\big(n(H)+1\big).$$

Furthermore, every irreducible summand of W belongs to W^H for some $H \in \mathcal{H}$ and $W^H \cap W^{H'} = \{0\}$ provided that H, $H' \in \mathcal{H}$ are distinct. Consequently,

$$W = \bigoplus_{H \in \mathcal{H}} W^H \text{ and } m = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} m(H).$$

Now consider the restriction $f^H \colon X^H \to W^H$, where $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ acts freely on both X^H and $W^H \setminus \{0\}$, we can apply Theorem 4.8 in order to obtain:

$$\operatorname{cdim} f^{-1}(0)^H \geq n(H) - m(H).$$

Since there is precisely $(p^k - 1)(p - 1)$ elements in $\mathcal{H}$, the claimed estimate follows by summation over $H \in \mathcal{H}$. □

We can use this to estimate the size of a coincidence set in the following setting:

Corollary 6.5. *If X is a free G-space which is compact such that $H^i(X; \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ for $0 < i < n$, then for any map $f \colon X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ we have:*

$p = 2$: $\dim A_f \geq n - (2^k - 1)m$,
$p > 2$: $\theta(\dim A_f) \geq \theta(n) - (p^k - 1)m/2$.

Proof. ($p = 2$) The argument in Subsection 2.6 yields a G-equivariant map $\bar{f} \colon X \to W_\perp^G$, where $W_\perp^G$ is a fixed-point free G-representation of dimension $(2^k - 1)m$. By Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.6, we have

$$\ell(A_f) \geq n + 1 - \ell\big(S(W_\perp^G)\big).$$

But A_f is a free G-space, hence $EG \times_G A_f \simeq A_f/G$, so that $\ell(A_f) \leq \operatorname{cdim} A_f/G + 1$, and $\operatorname{cdim} A_f/G \leq \dim A_f/G \leq \dim A_f$, where the last inequality follows from [6, Proposition 3.7]. Furthermore, $\ell\big(S(W_\perp^G)\big) = \dim W_\perp^G = (2^k - 1)m$ by [2, Theorem 5.2].

($p > 2$) The argument is similar, with two minor modifications: plug in θ wherever necessary, and in this case $\ell\big(S(W_\perp^G)\big) = \frac{1}{2} \dim W_\perp^G = (p^k - 1)m/2$ (*ibid.*). □

7. Remarks on the abstract Bourgin–Yang theorem

Fix a compact Lie group G and let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{G/H \mid H \subsetneq G \text{ is a closed subgroup}\}$. Given a G-space X, write $\mathcal{A}_X = \{G/G_x \mid x \in X\}$ as before and set $\mathcal{X} = \bigsqcup_{x \in X} G/G_x$. Unless otherwise stated, h^* stands for a continuous and multiplicative equivariant cohomology theory. (Here h^* is *continuous* if for any closed G-invariant subspace A of a compact G-space X we have $h^*(A) = \varinjlim h^*(\mathcal{U})$, where the direct limit is taken over all open

G-neighbourhoods $\mathcal{U}$ of A in X, and h^* is *multiplicative* if it has a natural, bilinear, associative and anti-commutative product

$$h^m(X,A) \times h^n(X,B) \to h^{m+n}(X, A \cup B)$$

with unit in $h^0(X)$, defined for all excisive G-pairs $\{A, B\}$.) Furthermore, ℓ denotes the $(\mathcal{A}, h^*, I)$-length for some choice of an ideal $I \subseteq h^*(\mathrm{pt})$.

The conclusion of Theorem 3.1 can be obtained for any h^* simply by mimicking its proof, perhaps with minor modifications depending on the nature of h^*. Of course there is the problem of making that conclusion computable. It turns out that this can be done in certain generality. We will explain this in the next couple of paragraphs.

First of all, we give a slightly modified version of a construction due to Segal [16, Section 5]: we use open coverings instead of closed ones. Given a compact G-space X and its finite covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ by open G-invariant subsets, a G-space $\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{U}$ is defined as follows. Let $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{U}$ be the nerve of the covering $\mathcal{U}$ and $|\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{U}|$ its geometric realisation. Recall that $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{U}$ is a finite simplicial complex whose simplices are finite subsets $\sigma \subseteq \Lambda$ such that $U_\sigma = \bigcap_{\alpha\in\sigma} U_\alpha$ is non-empty. Define

$$\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{\sigma\in\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{U}} \big(U_\sigma \times \mathrm{Int}|\sigma|\big).$$

Note that $\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{U}$ is an open subset of $X \times |\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{U}|$ and the projection onto the first factor $w\colon \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{U} \to X$ is a G-equivariant map. Exactly the same proof as that of [16, Section 5, Property (i)] shows that $w^*\colon h^*(X) \to h^*(\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{U})$ is an isomorphism.

Furthermore, $\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{U}$ is equipped with a filtration

$$\mathcal{W}^0_\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{W}^1_\mathcal{U} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{U},$$

where $\mathcal{W}^p_\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{\dim(\sigma)\le p} \big(U_\sigma \times \mathrm{Int}|\sigma|\big)$. Note that $\mathcal{W}^p_\mathcal{U}$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{U}$. We will say that $c \in h^m_p(X)$ if there exists a finite open G-invariant cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X such that $c \in \ker\big[h^m(X) \to h^m(\mathcal{W}^{p-1}_\mathcal{U})\big]$.

Let $\Delta\colon \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{U}$ be the diagonal map. Then Δ is G-homotopic to a filtration preserving map, where the filtration of $\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{U}$ is given by $(\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{U})^q = \bigcup_{k+l=q} \mathcal{W}^k_\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{W}^l_\mathcal{U}$.

Lemma 7.1. *If h^* is a multiplicative equivariant cohomology theory, its cup product restricts to maps $h^m_p(X) \times h^n_s(X) \to h^{m+n}_{p+s}(X)$.*

Proof. For convenience, denote $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}^{p-1} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cup (\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}^{s-1})$. Now consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
h^m(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}^{p-1}) \times h^n(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}^{s-1}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\times}} & h^{m+n}\big(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}, (\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}})^{p+s-1}\big) & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
h^m(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}) \times h^n(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}) & \xrightarrow{\times} & h^{m+n}(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} & h^{m+n}(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & h^{m+n}\big((\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}})^{p+s-1}\big) & \xrightarrow{\delta^*} & h^{m+n}(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}^{p+s-1}),
\end{array}$$

where $\times$ is the external cross product and $\overline{\times}$ is the composition of $\times$ with the map induced by the inclusion of pairs $\big(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}, (\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}})^{p+s-1}\big) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{S})$. Clearly, the top square is commutative. The composition of maps in the second row is the usual cup product in $h^*(X)$. Since the diagonal map $\Delta\colon \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}} \to \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is G-homotopic to a filtration preserving map, say δ, we obtain the commutative bottom square.

We can think of $h_p^m(X)$ as $\operatorname{Im}\big[h^m(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}^{p-1}) \to h^m(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}})\big]$, via the exact sequence of the pair $(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}^{p-1})$. Commutativity of the top square and exact sequence of the pair $\big(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}, (\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}})^{p+s-1}\big)$ implies that $h_p^m(X) \cdot h_s^n(X)$ ends up in

$$\Delta^*\Big(\ker\big[h^{m+n}(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}) \to h^{m+n}\big((\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}})^{p+s-1}\big)\big]\Big).$$

But, by commutativity of the bottom square, this set further lies in $h_{p+s}^{m+n}(X)$. This concludes the proof. □

Lemma 7.2. *If h^* is a continuous equivariant cohomology theory and X is a compact G-space, then*

$$h_1^*(X) = \bigcap_{x \in X} \ker\big[h^*(X) \to h^*(G/G_x)\big].$$

Proof. As a preliminary remark, note that for any open G-invariant cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of X we have $\ker\big[h^*(X) \to h^*(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}^0)\big] = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \ker\big[h^*(X) \to h^*(U_\alpha)\big]$, since $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{U}}^0 = \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$.

Let $c \in h_1^*(X)$. Then there exists a open G-invariant cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ such that $c \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \ker\big[h^*(X) \to h^*(U_\alpha)\big]$. But for any $x \in X$ there exists $\alpha \in \Lambda$ with $G/G_x \subseteq U_\alpha$, so that

$$\ker\big[h^*(X) \to h^*(U_\alpha)\big] \subseteq \ker\big[h^*(X) \to h^*(G/G_x)\big].$$

Consequently, $c \in \bigcap_{x \in X} \ker\big[h^*(X) \to h^*(G/G_x)\big]$.

Conversely, let $c \in \bigcap_{x\in X} \ker\big[h^*(X) \to h^*(G/G_x)\big]$. Then for any $x \in X$, by continuity of h^*, there exists an open G-invariant neighbourhood U_x of G/G_x such that $c \in \ker\big[h^*(X) \to h^*(U_x)\big]$. Taking a finite subcover of $\{U_x\}_{x\in X}$ concludes the proof. □

Now recall that if

$$\ell(A_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_k) = 1 \text{ for any } k \geq 1 \text{ and any } A_1, \ldots, A_k \in \mathcal{A},$$

then ℓ is said to have the *strong normalization property*.

Lemma 7.3. *Let X be a compact G-space. If $\ell(\mathcal{X}) = 1$, then $\ell(X) \leq \dim X + 1$.*

Proof. Since $\ell(\mathcal{X}) = 1$, there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for any $\alpha \in I \cap \ker\big[h^*(\mathrm{pt}) \to h^*(A)\big]$ we have $\alpha \in \ker\big[h^*(\mathrm{pt}) \to h^*(\mathcal{X})\big]$, which implies $p_X^*(\alpha) \in \ker\big[h^*(X) \to h^*(\mathcal{X})\big]$, where $\mathcal{X} \to X$ is given by inclusions of orbits, and this in turn is equivalent to $p_X^*(\alpha) \in h_1^*(X)$ by Lemma 7.2. Consequently, if $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in I \cap \ker\big[h^*(\mathrm{pt}) \to h^*(A)\big]$, then $p_X^*(\alpha_1) \smile \cdots \smile p_X^*(\alpha_k) \in h_k^*(X)$ in view of Lemma 7.1. But $h^*_{\dim X+1}(X) = 0$; this proves the claimed result. □

Theorem 7.4. *If ℓ satisfies the strong normalization property, then $\ell(X) \leq \dim X + 1$ for any compact G-space X of finite orbit type such that $\mathcal{A}_X \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. If G is a finite group, the converse also holds.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Since X is of finite orbit type, there exists a G-map $\mathcal{X} \to G/G_{x_1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup G/G_{x_k}$ for some $k \geq 1$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in X$. But $G/G_{x_1}, \ldots, G/G_{x_k} \in \mathcal{A}_X \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and ℓ satisfies the strong normalization property, hence $\ell(G/H_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup G/H_k) = 1$ and, consequently, $\ell(\mathcal{X}) = 1$. The conclusion now follows from Lemma 7.3.

($\Leftarrow$) Let $k \geq 1$ and $A_1, \ldots, A_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Clearly, $A_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_k$ is a compact 0-dimensional G-space of finite orbit type and $\mathcal{A}_{A_1\sqcup\cdots\sqcup A_k} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, thus $\ell(A_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_k) \leq 1$. □

Moreover, for an arbitrary length ℓ, an analogous argument yields:

Proposition 7.5. *Let X be a compact G-space. If the set of orbit types of X has an infimum and its representative lies in $\mathcal{A}$, then $\ell(X) \leq \dim X + 1$.*

Consequently, we have:

Theorem 7.6. *Let X and Y be G-spaces, with X compact. If ℓ has the strong normalization property and there exists an h^*-functorial G-equivariant map $f\colon X \to Y$, then*

$$\dim f^{-1}(A) \geq \ell(X) - \dim\big(f(X) \setminus A\big)$$

for any closed G-invariant subspace $A \subseteq Y$.

To have an example not tackled in this paper, take $G = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \Gamma$, where Γ is a finite group, and set:

- $\mathcal{A} = \{G/H \mid H \subseteq G \text{ is a finite group}\}$,
- $h^* = H^*_G(-;\mathbb{Q})$,
- $I = H^*_G(\mathrm{pt};\mathbb{Q})$.

As explained in [2, Remark 4.16], the length ℓ defined by the above triple has the strong normalization property.

Acknowledgements. The first and second authors have been supported by the National Science Centre under grants 2014/12/S/ST1/00368 and 2011/03/B/ST1/04533, respectively. The third author has been supported by DST INSPIRE Scheme IFA-11MA-01/2011 and DST Fast Track Scheme SR/FTP/MS-027/2010.

We also acknowledge that in his forthcoming PhD thesis N. Silva obtained results which partially coincide with ours: he was mainly interested in the case when X is a $\bmod p$ cohomology sphere.

References

[1] C. Allday and V. Puppe, **Cohomological Methods in Transformation Groups**, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 32, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[2] T. Bartsch, **Topological Methods for Variational Problems with Symmetries**, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1560, Springer, 1993.

[3] G. E. Bredon, *Cohomological aspects of transformation groups*, **Proceedings of the Conference on Transformation Groups, New Orleans 1967**, Springer-Verlag, 1968, pp. 247–280.

[4] G. E. Bredon, **Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups**, Academic Press, 1972.

[5] M. Clapp and D. Puppe, *Critical point theory with symmetries*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 418 (1991), 1–29.

[6] S. Deo and H. S. Tripathi, *Compact Lie group actions on finitistic spaces*, Topology 21 (1982), 393–399.

[7] T. tom Dieck, **Transformations Groups**, de Gruyter Series in Mathematics 8, Walter de Gruyter, 1987.

[8] D. L. Gonçalves, J. Jaworowski, P. L. Q Pergher, *Measuring the size of the coincidence set*, Topology Appl. 125 (2002), 465–470.

[9] W. Y. Hsiang, **Cohomology Theory of Topological Transformation Groups**, Springer–Verlag, 1975.

[10] W. Marzantowicz, D. de Mattos and E. L. dos Santos, *Bourgin–Yang version of the Borsuk–Ulam theorem for $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$-equivariant maps*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 12 (2012), 2245–2258.

[11] W. Marzantowicz, D. de Mattos and E. L. dos Santos, *Bourgin–Yang versions of the Borsuk–Ulam theorem for p-toral groups*, arXiv:1302.1494 (2014).

[12] J. Matoušek, **Using the Borsuk–Ulam Theorem**, Springer, 2003.

[13] D. de Mattos, T. O. Souza, *Estimating the size of the* $(H, \mathbb{Z}_{p^k})$*-coincidences set*, preprint.
[14] H. J. Munkholm, *Borsuk–Ulam type theorems for proper* $\mathbb{Z}_p$*-actions on* (mod p *homology*) n*-spheres*, Math. Scand. 24 (1969), 167–185.
[15] H. J. Munkholm, *On the Borsuk–Ulam theorem for* $\mathbb{Z}_{p^a}$*-actions on* S^{2n-1} *and maps* $S^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^m$, Osaka J. Math. 7 (1970), 451–456.
[16] G. Segal, *Equivariant* K*-theory*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 34 (1968), 129–151.
[17] A. Volovikov, *A generalization of the Borsuk–Ulam theorem*, Mat. Sb. 108 (1979), 212–218 (English translation in Math. USSR-Sb. 36 (1980), 195–202).
[18] A. Volovikov, *A theorem of Bourgin–Yang type for* $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$*-actions*, Mat. Sb. 183 (1992), 115–144 (English translation in Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math. 76 (1993), 361–387).
[19] A. Volovikov, *On a topological generalization of Tverberg's theorem*, Mat. Zametki 59 (1996), 454–456 (English translation in Math. Notes 59 (1996), 324–325).
[20] A. Volovikov, *On the index of* G*-spaces*, Mat. Sb. 191 (2000), 3–22 (English translation in Sb. Math. 191 (2000), 1259–1277).
[21] R. T. Živalejvić, *User's guide to equivariant methods in combinatorics*, Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd 59 (1996), 114–130.

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Adam Mickiewicz University of Poznań, ul. Umultowska 87, 61-614 Poznań, Poland.
E-mail address: blaszczyk@amu.edu.pl
E-mail address: marzan@amu.edu.pl

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Mohali, Sector 81, Knowledge City, SAS Nagar (Mohali), Post Office Manauli, Punjab 140306, India.
E-mail address: mahender@iisermohali.ac.in